

To: Marincola, JamesPaul[Marincola.JamesPaul@epa.gov]
Cc: Mogharabi, Nahal[MOGHARABI.NAHAL@EPA.GOV]
From: Macler, Bruce
Sent: Tue 4/23/2013 3:29:41 AM
Subject: RE: ACTION: Los Angeles Newspaper Group re: info on chromium 6 -- DEADLINE 04/18

Ex. 5 - Deliberative Process

Bruce

Bruce A. Macler, PhD
Drinking Water Program Toxicologist
USEPA Region 9
75 Hawthorne St, Wtr-6
San Francisco, CA 94105
415 972-3569

From: Marincola, JamesPaul
Sent: Monday, April 22, 2013 11:02 AM
To: Macler, Bruce
Cc: Mogharabi, Nahal
Subject: FW: ACTION: Los Angeles Newspaper Group re: info on chromium 6 -- DEADLINE 04/18

Bruce,

Ex. 5 - Deliberative Process

Inquiry:

Could you update me on the progress toward a new drinking water standard for chromium 6?. I have been covering Hinkley, Ca for several years and want to include what's up with the EPA in it

I understand the 100 parts per billion total chromium now, but isn't the EPA looking at a specific standard just for Cr6?

Please call me.

Or email some info.

I am putting together a two-part series on Hinkley and want to broaden it out to the bigger picture of Cr6.

Hasn't there been a study involving rats which suggests drinking cr6 is not as bad as so many Hinkley people say.

This is for the Los Angeles Newspaper Group and all our sister papers spread across the country, which are about 90.

I work out of the San Bernardino Sun.

Response:

EPA is not developing a maximum contaminant level for chromium-6.

In September 2010, EPA released a draft toxicological risk assessment of chromium-6 for external peer review and public comment (http://cfpub.epa.gov/ncea/iris_drafts/recordisplay.cfm?deid=221433). An external peer review panel is reviewing the draft assessment and EPA will consider the panel's recommendations before finalizing the assessment.

In 2011, EPA released a guidance to water systems on how they may monitor for chromium-6 in addition to the monitoring they are required to perform for total chromium. That guidance is available at <http://water.epa.gov/drink/info/chromium/guidance.cfm>.

Water systems across the country are currently monitoring for chromium-6 as part of EPA's unregulated

contaminant monitoring program. More information on that program is available at <http://water.epa.gov/lawsregs/rulesregs/sdwa/ucmr/ucmr3/basicinformation.cfm>. This fact sheet provides a good summary of the program: http://water.epa.gov/lawsregs/rulesregs/sdwa/ucmr/ucmr3/upload/UCMR3_FactSheet_General.pdf.

EPA will review the final risk assessment, evaluate monitoring data for chromium-6 collected during the unregulated contaminant monitoring program and consider all other relevant information to determine if a new drinking water regulation for chromium-6 or a revision to the current total chromium standard is warranted.

Jamie Marincola

Water Division

US EPA, Region IX

San Francisco, CA

415-972-3520

From: Keener, Bill

Sent: Monday, April 22, 2013 10:45 AM

To: Mogharabi, Nahal; Harris-Bishop, Rusty; Marincola, JamesPaul

Subject: FW: ACTION: Los Angeles Newspaper Group re: info on chromium 6 -- DEADLINE 04/18

What do you think...?

Bill Keener

Office of Public Affairs

U.S. EPA - Region 9

San Francisco, CA

Phone: (415) 972-3940

From: Kika, Stacy
Sent: Monday, April 22, 2013 10:35 AM
To: Keener, Bill; Zito, Kelly
Subject: RE: ACTION: Los Angeles Newspaper Group re: info on chromium 6 -- DEADLINE 04/18

Hi,

Ex. 5 - Deliberative Process

Response:

EPA is not developing a maximum contaminant level for chromium-6.

In September 2010, EPA released a draft toxicological risk assessment of chromium-6 for external peer review and public comment (http://cfpub.epa.gov/ncea/iris_drafts/recordisplay.cfm?deid=221433). An external peer review panel is reviewing the draft assessment and EPA will consider the panel's recommendations before finalizing the assessment.

In 2011, EPA released a guidance to water systems on how they may monitor for chromium-6 in addition to the monitoring they are required to perform for total chromium. That guidance is available at <http://water.epa.gov/drink/info/chromium/guidance.cfm>.

Water systems across the country are currently monitoring for chromium-6 as part of EPA's unregulated contaminant monitoring program. More information on that program is available at <http://water.epa.gov/lawsregs/rulesregs/sdwa/ucmr/ucmr3/basicinformation.cfm>. This fact sheet provides a good summary of the program: http://water.epa.gov/lawsregs/rulesregs/sdwa/ucmr/ucmr3/upload/UCMR3_FactSheet_General.pdf.

EPA will review the final risk assessment, evaluate monitoring data for chromium-6 collected during the unregulated contaminant monitoring program and consider all other relevant information to determine if a new drinking water regulation for chromium-6 or a revision to the current total chromium standard is warranted.

~~~~~  
Stacy Kika  
U.S. Environmental Protection Agency  
Office of Media Relations  
Email: [kika.stacy@epa.gov](mailto:kika.stacy@epa.gov)  
Desk: 202.564.0906  
EPA news releases on Twitter: <http://twitter.com/epanews>

**From:** Kika, Stacy  
**Sent:** Thursday, April 18, 2013 3:03 PM  
**To:** Keener, Bill; Zito, Kelly  
**Cc:** Senn, John  
**Subject:** ACTION: Los Angeles Newspaper Group re: info on chromium 6 -- DEADLINE 04/18

Hi,

## Ex. 5 - Deliberative Process

Thanks,

Stacy

~~~~~  
Stacy Kika
U.S. Environmental Protection Agency
Office of Media Relations
Email: kika.stacy@epa.gov
Desk: 202.564.0906
EPA news releases on Twitter: <http://twitter.com/epanews>

From: Kika, Stacy
Sent: Wednesday, April 17, 2013 2:25 PM
To: Loop, Travis; Senn, John
Subject: ACTION: Los Angeles Newspaper Group re: info on chromium 6 -- DEADLINE 04/18

Hi,

Ex. 5 - Deliberative Process

Thanks,

Stacy

~~~~~  
Stacy Kika  
U.S. Environmental Protection Agency  
Office of Media Relations  
Email: [kika.stacy@epa.gov](mailto:kika.stacy@epa.gov)  
Desk: 202.564.0906  
EPA news releases on Twitter: <http://twitter.com/epanews>

Hi Latosha:

Could you update me on the progress toward a new drinking water standard for chromium 6?. I have been covering Hinkley, Ca for several years and want to include what's up with the EPA in it

I understand the 100 parts per billion total chromium now, but isn't the EPA looking at a specific standard just for Cr6?

Please call me.

Or email some info.

I am putting together a two-part series on Hinkley and want to broaden it out to the bigger picture of Cr6.

Hasn't there been a study involving rats which suggests drinking cr6 is not as bad as so many Hinkley people say.

This is for the Los Angeles Newspaper Group and all our sister papers spread across the country, which are about 90.

I work out of the San Bernardino Sun.

Sincerely,

Jim Steinberg